

AMERICAN UNIVERSITY of BEIRUT الجامعة الأميركية في بيروت

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF METALLIC SURFACES DURING PULSED LASER ABLATION FOR MICROTHRUSTERS

PRESENTED BY: CARL TAMERIAN – AUB SUPERVISED BY: CHRISTOPHER NAHED – CEA, GHASSAN ANTAR - AUB

CLUB CAST3M - NOVEMBER 25, 2022







01. Overviev Ablation **03**.

05. Simulation Results

Overview of Laser Ablation

Numerical Methods

02.

Underlying Physics

04.

Experimental and Numerical model

06.

Conclusion and Perspectives







1.1 OVERVIEW OF LASER ABLATION

- Laser-induced mass removal from an irradiated zone of a target material.
- \succ Causes rapid heating and phase change from solid to liquid to gas.
- Laser pulses are classified into ultrashort and nanosecond pulses.
- Used in various manufacturing fields including surface processing, welding applications; and aerospace propulsion technologies.





1.2 MICROTHRUSTERS BASED ON PULSED LASER ABLATION

- Microthrusters can provide very small and accurate thrust forces to maneuver microsatellites
- > They are characterized by their small volume and mass, low power requirements, and high specific impulse
- > Working principle:
- Energy from the laser photons heats up the irradiation zone causing rapid phase change from solid to liquid to gas.
- The gas transforms into plasma once the ionization energy is exceeded.
- The recoil force at the surface propels the system as per the momentum conservation principle.





2.1 GOVERNING EQUATIONS

- \succ For nanosecond pulsed lasers, continuum heat transfer theories apply at this scale.
- \succ Heat flux equation over a constant control volume Ω : $\rho(T)C_{r}$
- \succ Heat input absorbed by the material: $Q(x, y, z, t) = \alpha(1 R)I_{z}$
- > Boundary conditions:
- Initial condition: $T(x, y, z, 0)_{\Omega} = T_0$
- Radiation at upper surface: $(-\lambda \nabla T \cdot n)_{\partial\Omega} = \epsilon(T)\sigma(T^4 T_{\infty}^4)$
- Adiabatic at other surfaces: $(-\lambda \nabla T \cdot n)_{\partial \Omega} = 0$
- OR isothermal at other surfaces: $T(x, y, z, t)_{\partial\Omega} = T_0$

$$P_{p}(T) \frac{\partial T(x,y,z,t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot [k(T)\nabla T(x,y,z,t)] = Q(x,y,z,t)$$

$$I_{s}(x,y,t)e^{-\alpha z}$$

2.2 SOLID – LIQUID PHASE CHANGE

2.3 ONCE ABLATION IS INITIATED

- > Once the boiling point of the material is exceeded, ablation is initiated, and surface material is removed.
- > Depending on the laser intensity, ablation might be due to surface evaporation alone or coupled with phase explosion.
- > The ablation rate (recession velocity) due to surface evaporation is represented by the Hertz-Knudsen relation:

$$\dot{s} = \frac{\beta}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi k_b T_s}} p_{vap}$$

Ablation depth is calculated by integrating the ablation rate over time.

$$p_{vap} = P_b \exp\left[\frac{mL_v}{k_b} \left(\frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_s}\right)\right]$$

2.4 RECOIL FORCES

> The total recoil momentum is due to two contributions: pressure exerted by gas/plasma plume on the material and the pressure at the gas/liquid interface.

$$M_{rec} = \int \int P_{rec} \, dA \, dt$$
, where $P_{rec} = P_v + P_{ev} - P_{\infty}$

- \succ The pressure is discontinuous at the liquid-gas interface
- \succ Using the momentum conservation equation across $d\Gamma$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\rho.u.(u.n)\right)_{gas} &- \left(\rho.u.(u.n)\right)_{liquid} = (\sigma_{gas} - \sigma_{liquid}).n \\ where \ \sigma &= -P\mathbb{I} + \mu \left(\nabla u + \nabla^T u - \frac{2}{3}\nabla \cdot u\right) \\ \sigma_{gas} &= -P_{gas}\mathbb{I} \quad (\mu \approx 0) \\ \sigma_{liquid} &= -P_{liquid}\mathbb{I} \quad (u \approx 0) \\ &\therefore F_{rec,ev} = \rho_{gas} u_{gas}^2 \end{aligned}$$

> Applying the mass conservation equation along $d\Gamma$: $u_{gas} = \frac{\rho_{liquid}\dot{s}}{2}$

 ρ_{gas}

3.1 INTRODUCTION TO NUMERICAL METHODS

- > Difficulty obtaining analytical solutions of our heat transfer model due to:
- Complex material laser plasma interactions -
- Phase change -
- Thermophysical properties of the material are temperature dependent
- > Use of FEM to discretize the heat equation in space and time

4.1 OVERVIEW OF OUR PROJECT

- > Goals: Compute the ablation depth, the recoil force at the interface, and select the optimal material.
- Numerical model: Simulate the ablation phenomenon on CAST3M
- Obtain the temperature evolution profiles at critical points while accounting for phase change
- Account for material removal once ablation is initiated
- Compute the ablation depth and recoil force
- Compare the performance of three metals: lead, copper, and aluminum
- Experimental model: Conduct the PLA experiment at AUB Physics department Fluid dynamics & Plasma lab
- Measure the crater size to validate the numerical model -
- Measure the recoil force using an interferometer

4.2 EXPERIMENTAL MODEL

> Interferometer:

- Sensor that measures micronewton thrust by measuring the speed or displacement of the target. -
- The splitting and recombination of beams results in an interference pattern.
- A high-speed camera captures the interference pattern to analyze the central fringe intensity and direction in which the fringes are moving.
- The absolute displacement could be calculated from the phase shift of the sinusoidal mapping of the intensity.
- > Setup:
- Vacuum chamber: lower tube connected to vacuum pump; right tube holds the pendulum with the target at the center; other tubes for observation purposes.
- Pendulum stand which holds the mirror on one end and the target material is on the other. -

4.3.1 NUMERICAL MODEL: CONDITIONS

- \succ The material has a volume of 1mm * 1mm * 10 μ m
- \geq 2D simulation in x and z with material size of 0.5mm * 10 μ m
- \succ Mesh size: 1.25 μ m along the horizontal, variable 10nm 500 nm along the vertical
- Timesteps: 5e-12 s
- > Initial Boundary condition: $T(x, z, 0) = T_0$
- > Adiabatic boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=l} = 0 \\ -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0} = 0 \\ -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0} = 0 \end{cases}$$

4.3.2 LASER PROFILE

- > Assuming that the laser irradiance is equivalent to the laser intensity
- \succ UV laser with $\lambda = 308 nm$
- > The laser intensity can be fitted into a Gaussian distribution which varies exponentially in space and time

$$I_{s}(x,y,t) = I_{0}e^{-\frac{(x-x_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{x}^{2}}}e^{-\frac{(y-y_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{y}^{2}}}e^{-\frac{(t-t_{0})^{2}}{2\sigma_{t}^{2}}}$$

 \succ Given the energy per pulse:

$$I_0 = \frac{E}{(2\pi)^{3/2} \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_t}$$

> A laser with a smaller irradiation radius or smaller FWHM results in a larger intensity

 $Q(x, y, z, t) = \alpha(1 - R)I_s(x, y, t)e^{-\alpha z}$

AMERICAN

OFBEIRUT

4.3.3 THERMOPHYSICAL AND OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF DIFFERENT METALS

	LEAD	ALUMINUM	COPPER
$\alpha (m^{-1})$	$1.148 * 10^{-8}$	$1.5025 * 10^{-8}$	$1.0168 * 10^{-8}$
R	0.8917	0.927	0.522
$T_{m}(K)$	600.61	933.61	1357.77
$L_{m}(\mathbf{J})$	$2.32 * 10^4$	$3.96 * 10^5$	$2.04 * 10^5$
ρ (kg.m ⁻³)	$\begin{cases} 11345 - 0.959(T - 293.15) - 3.715 * 10^{-5}(T - 293.15)^2, T < T_m \\ 10651 - 1.262(T - T_m) + 4.62(T - T_m)^2, & T > T_m \end{cases}$	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 2700, & T < 600 \\ 2648 + 0.332 T - 4.99 * 10^{-4} T^2, & 600 < T < T_m \\ 2670 - 0.267 * T , & T_m < T < 2000 \end{array} \right. $	$\begin{cases} 8810 - 0.428(T - 293) - 6.12 * 10^{-5}(T - 293) \\ 9109.7077 - 0.8194 * T, & T > 0.8194 * T \end{cases}$
$C_p(J.kg^{-1}.K^{-1})$	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 116.41 + 4.24 * 10^{-2} T - 3.32 * 10^{-5} T^2, T < T_m \\ 167.72 - 3.92 * 10^{-2} T + 1.307 * 10^{-5} T^2, T_m < T < 1500 \\ 210.367 - 9.609 * 10^{-2} T + 3.203 * 10^{-5} T^2, 1500 < T < 2400 \end{array} \right. $	$\begin{cases} 643.9 + 0.5203 \ * T \ , T < T_m \\ 1160 \ , T > T_m \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 370.87 + 0.108 * T - 4.649 * 10^{-5}T^2 + 2.8045T^3 \\ 527.872 , T > T_m \end{cases}$
$k(W.m^{-1}.K^{-1})$	$\begin{cases} 35.49 - 1.82 * 10^{-2}(T - 293.15) , T < T_m \\ 9.2 + 0.011 * T , T > T_m \end{cases}$	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 226.67 + 0.033 * T &, T < 400 \\ 226.67 &- 0.055 * T &, 400 < T < T_m \\ \\ \frac{1}{12.47 + (1.36 * 10^{-2} * T)} * 2.45 * T &, T > T_m \end{array} \right. $	$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 418.775 \ -\ 0.075 \ *\ T\ , \qquad T < T \\ 89.7 \ +\ 0.04976 \ *\ T\ , \qquad T > T_m \end{array} \right.$

5.1 RESULTS FOR LEAD

2.00E+03

5.2 COMPARISON OF TEMPERATURE CURVES OF LEAD, COPPER, **AND ALUMINUM**

LEAD

COPPER

ALUMINUM

6.1 CONCLUSIONS

- \succ Lead proves to have the optimal performance among copper and aluminum.
- Thermal Response:
- $T_{m,Pb} < T_{m,Al} < T_{m,Cu}$
- $L_{m,Pb} < L_{m,Cu} < L_{m,Al}$
- $k_{Pb} < k_{Al} < k_{Cu}$
- $(Fo)_{Pb} < (Fo)_{Al} < (Fo)_{Cu}$, where $Fo = \frac{\alpha t}{L_c^2}$ represents the heat conduction through the material relative to the heat stored
- Recoil Force and Ablation Rate:
- $\rho_{Pb,gas}$ is the largest which results in a larger recoil force at the interface -
- m_{Pb} is the largest which results in the greater ablation rate

6.2 FUTURE WORK

- \succ Verifying the results obtained via comparison with other time-integrator programs
- > Adding radiation as a boundary condition on the upper surface
- Accounting for the gas phase and material removal
- Calculating the instantaneous ablation rate to compute the ablation depth
- Computing the recoil force at the interface
- Comparing the simulation results with the experimental outcomes
- \succ Level-set method to capture the solid, liquid, and gas/plasma phases simultaneously

THANK YOU